UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,957	08/11/2005	David John Hughes	50698	3769
	7590 07/21/200 Protection, Inc. ,	EXAMINER		
	emark Department	SZNAIDMAN, MARCOS L		
Greensboro, NC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,957	HUGHES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARCOS SZNAIDMAN	1612	

	MARCOS SZNAIDMAN	1612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ig date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 09 July 2009. A brief in	compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m	ust be filed within two	months of the				
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS		91 (b (1 b -					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 	•	ducina or simplifyina t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	le issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally re	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wilded below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8 and 10-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-7 and 9</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:							
/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	/MARCOS SZNAIDMA Examiner, Art Unit 1612						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive: Applicant argues that the instant claimed compounds are insecticides, acaricides etc and that the prior art (Hoescht) does not suggest that the disclosed ompounds can be used in agriculture.

Examiner's response: the fact is that Hoescht discloses that the compounds are antidepressants, anticonvulsants or tranquilizers and Bauer teaches that the disclosed compounds are also tranquilizers and antidepressants. So, since both references disclose compounds with similar utility and similar structure, it will be obvious to the skilled in the art to combine the teachings of both and arrive to the compounds of the instant Application with the motivation of making a better molecule with tranquilizing properties. The motivation to arrive to the same compound of the instant Application can be different from the one disclosed by Applicant (insecticide, acaricide, etc) and still be valid.

Applicant further argues that the Bauer compounds are structurally very different from those of the present invention. Examiner's rersponse: although there some structural differences between the Bauer reference and the instant disclosed compounds, the fact is that Bauer teaches that the allyl and phenyl-allyl groups are functional equivalents, since both show similar activity as tranquilizers, so it is expected, that replacing the allyl group of the Hoescht compound with a allyl-phenyl group will result in a molecule with very similar properties as to the one specifically disclosed by Hoescht, thus resulting in the practice of the instant claims.

Also, Bauer teaches that R1 can be "alkenyl of 3 to 6 carbon atoms" and since the examples cover both R1 allyl (see compound 105 on Table VII, columns 17 and 18) and R1 phenyl allyl (see compound 110 on Table VII, columns 17 and 18) one skilled in the art would reasonably interpret the term "alkenyl" to encompasses "substituted alkenyls" like phenyl allyl.